UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,421 | 01/25/2006 | Katsunori Toyoshima | Q90658 | 1468 |
| | 7590 03/30/200 OVE LODGE & HUT | EXAMINER | | |
| 1875 EYE STR | EET, N.W. | LE, HOA T | | |
| SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/30/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/553,421 | TOYOSHIMA ET | TOYOSHIMA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | H. (Holly) T. Le | 1794 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo e, cause the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 L</u> | December 2008 | | | | | |
| - | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | / | | | | | | |
| ٠,١ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21</u> is/are pending in the app | olication | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| ′— | S)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 21</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | or organisment | | | | | |
| | on Papers | | | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | – . | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation and Copies of the detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No en received in this Nationa | ıl Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | | _ | | | | | |
| | te of References Cited (PTO-892) | | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | f Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/553,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 2 and 10-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse by telephone on September 25, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 appears to be incomplete and is indefinite for several reasons. First, the claim does not describe how the particle is formed; there is no step describing the formation of the resin particle. Secondly, it's unclear what is being maintained in an airtight state. Third, the phrase "while maintaining an air-tight state" indicates that an airtight state has started prior to the "maintaining" stage, but the claim fails to describe when the "air tight state" is started. Additionally, the steps in the claim are partially contradictory. Step 1 states heating and/or pressurizing while step 2 requires that both

Application/Control Number: 10/553,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

heating <u>and</u> pressure are decreased. If pressure has not increased in step 1 (assuming the "or" alternative), how can pressure be decreased in step 2?

Claim 21 is indefinite because it fails to describe how the particle is formed. Furthermore, it is not understood how releasing pressure of the pressure-resistant container is achieved in step 2 when there has been no pressure applied to the container in step 1. It is also unclear how "quenching" a container is done?

Other claims are deemed indefinite in view of their dependency upon claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1, 3-9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Japanese patent JP 2003-268,119 ("JP'119").

Claim 1: JP'119 teaches a method for making fine particles comprising mixing a polymer and a supercritical fluid at a high pressure and reducing the temperature rapidly to generate the resin fine particles. See claim 1. The JP'119 does not explicitly mention an air-tight state for the pressure releasing step; however, it has been known that a hermetically sealed heating device would allow a desired temperature (or pressure) to be reached faster because there is no heat loss in the process. Therefore, one of

Art Unit: 1794

ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to practice the method of JP'119 under an air-tight state.

Claims 3 and 4: See claim 2.

Claim 5: See paragraph [0009], last sentence and paragraph [0021]...

Claims 6-9: The resulting resin particles made by JP'119 are expected to possess the same properties as those of the claimed invention because the process taught in JP'119 is the same process as claimed with the exception of air-tight seal condition. However, such difference in condition only matters to the duration of the process and has no effects on the properties of the resulting resin particles.

Claim 21: See rejection to claim 1 above. The "high pressure vessel" is referred to an autoclave or pressure-resistant container.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/553,421 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Other references are cited as art of interest.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to H. (Holly) T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-

1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. (EST),

Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. (Holly) T. Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794